

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO : FILING PATE / 93	FARKFIRST NAMED INVENTOR	JA	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
_	ARTHUR G YEAGER 112 W ADAMS ST	LM61/0204	WILSE	XAMINER
	SUITE 1305 JACKSONVILLE FL 32202-38	353	ART.UNIT 2	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	02/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/003,325 Applicant(s)

Parker et al.

Examiner

Jacqueline Wilson

Group Art Unit 2712



THE	PER	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) [expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
1	o) 💢 (o	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
(date on	nsion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be d from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
		ont's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or with for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
but	is NC	s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 20, 2000</u> has been considered with the follo If deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	wing effect,
*	The pr	pposed amendment(s):	
/)	X_wi	poposed amendment(s): be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. not be entered because:	
V	wi		
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal.	j the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO	E:	
	Ar	plicant's response has overcome-the following rejection(s):	
	Newly separ	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if substant, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	bmitted in a
X	for all	fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in consumers because: Sejections as set forth in the previous action is maintained. See attached sheet.	
		fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rainer in the final rejection.	sed by the
X	For p	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
		s allowed:	
		s objected to:	
	Claim	s rejected: <u>12 and 51-108</u>	
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Ex	caminer.
	Note	he attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
	Other	Wendy Garber Supervisory Patent Examin Technology Center 2700	le r

Advisory Action

Application/Control Number: 09/003,325

Art Unit: 2712

ADVISORY ACTION

The applicant argues that the combination of Fabris and Parker is improper since Fabris teaches a touch screen and Parker teaches a remote controller. However, the examiner strongly disagrees. The touch screen of Fabris is in fact a remote controller for remotely operating the cameras in the system. Although it is not the same type of remote controller in which the applicant discloses, it does control the camera. The Parker reference is used to show another type of remote controller which is moveable independently and also controls the tracking, pan, tilt, etc. By modifying Fabris touch screen with a remote controller of Parker, the camera may be individually controlled to a predetermined position. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.